G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

File No. 605-11
1-16

Serial Nos.

1911.
(Misrai)

Later references.

Mus 2 19-27-1-2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—16

Part B for August 1915

SUBJECT.

Acquisition of 3 acres of land in Sarvamanga Nilasandra village endowed to Dharmarayaswamy temple at Halsurpet, Bangalore City

To, The Dy Commr Bangalore
No 6228 30.8.15

Requesting him to receive on of the temple the sum of Rs 157-8-0 awarded as Compensation re —

GPB—10,000—23-8-15

Chinnaswamy Iyer
III

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inwards)

NOTES.

File No. 605-1,

FILE HEADING

Acquisition of 3 acres of land in the Sarvamanya Village of Nilasandra, within the limits of the civil and military Station, Bangalore, endowed to Dharmaraya Swamy Temple.

From

The Dy Commr, Bangalore Distt

No 366 Dated 4-4-1912 Received 8-4-1912

Diary No 1599	Enclos 126 Spare Copies -	Serial No 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Reports that the above land in the tenancy of Chinnaswamy Mudaliar and Rajgopal Mudaliar has been acquired for the extension of the Police lines by the Collector, Civil and military Station and solicits instructions in the matter of the disposal of Compensation awarded.

NOTES AND ORDERS

7/

In G.O no 17 Dy 5th July 1905, the Amildar of the Bangalore Taluq has been appointed as the manager of the lands in Sarvamanya Village of Nilasandra endowed to Sri Dharmaraya Swamy Temple at Bangalore - out of

GPB—30 000—12 S 11

G. R. S.[illegible]12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 605 of 191

Serial No.

Compensation.

Submitted for orders.

15.4.12

2. From, the Dy Commr, Bangalore Dist.
Letter no. 55 Dy 1.8.1912
Forwards Bangalore Dy Builder's report submitting the civil and military Station Collector's memo requesting the Builder to appear before the Collector to receive the Compensation in the case under reference and requests early orders in the matter.

Submitted for orders

26-9-12

The proceedings of the Collector appear to have been very summary. Notice of intended acquisition should have been given to the Inamdar (Builder), to whom the Collector has satisfied himself by giving a notice to receive the Compensation fixed by him arbitrarily based on assessment ~~at 20 years' assessment~~

The Dt Court may be asked to address the Collector pointing out the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GBB—20 000—20 1-12

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 605 of 191

Serial No.

Compensation.

Submitted for orders.

AY 15.4.12 RL

2. From, the Dy Commr, Bangalore Dist
Letter no. 55 Dy 1.8 1912
Forwards Bangalore Dy Builder's report submitting the civil and military Station Collector's memo requesting the Builder to appear before the Collector to receive the Compensation in the case under reference and requests early orders in the matter.

Submitted for orders
Dy (132) RL
26-9 12

The proceedings of the Collector appear to have been very summary. Notice of intended acquisition should have been given to the Inamdar (Builder), to whom the Collector has satisfied himself by giving a notice to receive the Compensation fixed by him arbitrarily based on assessment ~~at 20 years' assessment~~

The Dy Court may be asked to address the Collector pointing out the

sheet.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 605 of 191 /

Serial No.

omission in this respect and also in failing to institute ~~any~~ inquiries as regards the parties to whom the Compensation was payable, wholly or partly, and if the latter to what extent &c.

The Amildar reports that in certain similar previous cases of acquisition of lands in this village by the C^tle St^re authorities, lands of equal extent in other villages were granted as compensation. Those refs may be ~~be~~ traced out and the attention of the Collector called to them, with a view to his revising the acquisition proceedings taken by him in the present instance. He may also be asked to send a copy of the Statement published in the Gazette (of India) declaring the intended acquisition.

On obtaining the Collector's reply the S & C's may forward it to Govt. for further orders, reporting at the same time on what terms the ~~tenants~~ tenants of the lands ~~of~~ in question were enjoying them & what the Inamdar (Amildar on behalf

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GBB—20 000—20 1 12

719

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 605 of 1911

Serial No.

of the temple) was getting in respect of those lands.

On receipt of these particulars the Residency may, if necessary, be addressed regarding the irregularity of the Acquisition proceedings, or the Collector himself moved to refer the matter to the Civil Court, where the said proceedings may be contested —

The question of the time limit prescribed in Sec 18 does not seem to apply, on account of initial irregularity in the proceedings viz; failure to comply with the provns of sec 9 of the Regn. bn

is 27

To Br III for opinion. bz 27.9.12

Subd. The Govt Advocate may perhaps be consulted in the matter

Hes 122 28 9 12 BBM 29

P.O. 18-12

Forwarded the Govt Advocate for favour of opinion

The file may kindly be regarded as my report

Bo

L Chungamma
for Secy

1/10/12

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—50 00 1—12 8 10

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 605 of 191

Serial No.

8.0.17

T.11
S/W MM

The amildar representing the temple is a person interested within the meaning of the term defined in the Land acquisition act. As such the special notice contemplated in Sec 9 cl(3) should have been served on him, the object of such notice being that a party ought not to have his rights determined without the opportunity of his being heard in his defence. It has been laid down in several cases that the statutory provisions in respect of the compulsory requisition of lands should be strictly complied with. It cannot be said that the non-service of a notice is a mere technical objection which ought not to vitiate the acquisition proceedings, as it is only after such notice that a person can become aware of the land acquisition proceedings & can urge that the compensation may be properly assessed. Cf I.L.R 34 Calcutta 470 from which the following is extracted

"Notice is essential to the exercise of jurisdiction × × ×

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—60,000—17-6-12

12

evenué
riat.

File No. 605 of 1911

Serial No.

of the Court whether the objection be to the measurement of the land, the amount of Compensation, the persons to whom it is payable or the apportionment of the Compensation among the persons interested From a perusal of Sec 18 it will be seen that there are some of the conditions that are prescribed by the act for the right of a party to ask for a reference to come into existence it will also be seen that these are the conditions to which the power of the Collector to make the reference is also subject. Before a Land acq^{n} Court can have the jurisdiction to entertain the reference these conditions must be fulfilled.

3. The objections which a Court to which reference is made on the motion of a party is competent to adjudicate upon are restricted to such matters ~~as~~ are referred to in Sec 18 of the Land acq^{n} act An objection to the legality of the procedure adopted by the Collector has been held to be altogether outside the scope of reference —

4. Nor can the High Court be

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50 000—16-5-08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 605 of 1901

Serial No.

asked to decide the legality of the Collector's procedure because the Collector when he holds an inquiry & makes an award under Sec 11 of the Land Acq.n Act is not to be deemed a Court. Throughout the proceedings the Collector must be deemed to act as the agent of Govt for the purpose of the acquisition. As has been held in a recent Calcutta Case he is in no sense of the term a judicial officer, his proceedings resulting in an award are administrative & not judicial — However irregular his proceedings may be the High Court has no power to interfere.

5. Under these circumstances the matter may be brought to the notice of the Resident not in his judicial capacity, but in his capacity as the executive head of the administration in the Cochin State with a request that the acquisition proceedings now held may be quashed & proceedings afresh may be ordered to be held after

GPB—50 000—18-5-08

Serial No.

Service of notice on the auxiliar under sec 9.

6. There is of course the general remedy given by law viz a suit for damages which is always open to an aggrieved ~~party~~ party when the proceedings for acquisition are not perfected & completed in the manner provided by law And in my opinion it will be unexpedient to have recourse to this kind of remedy.

Sd Doraiswamiyer
4.10.12. Govt Adv

Submd
Secy 12/10/12
7-10-12

If I may say so, I quite agree with the Govt Advocate In bringing the matter before the Hon'ble the Resident it may be well to mention that the Temple

GPB—50 000—16-5-08

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 605 of 191

Serial No.

Suffered substantial loss owing to the bulk of the Compensation amount having been paid to tenants after land acquired - apparently under the belief that the Temple as overlord had no right to eject the tenants. The Amildar's letter seems to show that the tenants were ordinary tenants - at will. This may perhaps be ~~added~~ Correctly ascertained

T & B V II

7/10

May further particulars be called for from the Dy Coll as indicated in the above notes, to enable Govt to address the Resd^y as proposed

Secretary

12-10-12

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12

219 12

12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 605 of 191/

Serial No.

We should first before addressing Mr Reading clearly ascertain whether the tenants who were in possession of the land were Kadim tenants having a right to the land or any tenants-at-will having no manner of proprietary rights over the land. On this point the Dept's letter is not sufficiently clear. Please put up draft asking for explanation on the point & submit to 1st Councillor

23
25/10/12

Submitted with a draft.
AVC 132)
29.10.12

Dept 1st Councillor)

Draft slightly added to and approved by 1st Councillor
12.11.12
MJS

23
13/11

3 To the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore Dist

No 579 dated 15.11.1912

Enquiring whether the tenants of the lands in question were Kadim tenants or only tenants at will & calling for a very early reply in the matter

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-9-12.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 605 of 1913

Serial No.	
4	From, the Dy Commr, Bangalore Dist, Letter no 297 Dy 15-9-1913. Submits the Amildar's report giving information called for in Sl. 3.
304 Dy 25-8-1913 (7)	It will be seen from the Amildar's report that the tenants in possession of the lands cannot be treated as Kadim tenants having particular right for the lands but they are only tenants at will

Submitted
SV(115)
22.9.1913
23/9/13

The amildar's report is exceedingly unsatisfactory based as it is on mere surmises & not supported by any detailed enquiry. Further the record has been delayed for more than nine months and now sent up with no better information than the Govt originally possessed. There cannot have been any practicable difficulty for the amildar to ascertain when and under what conditions lands came into the possession

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. of 191

Serial No

of the present tenants. The Main Registers may have thrown some light on the question and the Khul. Pahani and other Survey records may have been consulted to some advantage.

It is a pity that the Board lost sight of the file without issuing timely reminders. Now that so much time has been allowed upon it would be more satisfactory to collect me for all the available information and approach the Revt. Commr. with the second that after all the delay, the amildar's report is most unsatisfactory as it is not based on any kind of enquiry in the field and request him to direct the amildar to hold a thorough enquiry into the matter and forward the records within 15 days.

Request the Dhan Supt. to send a copy of the Dhan register and also write to the Survey Supt. to send any useful information collected during the Survey as regards Dhan S. Nos. (i.e. if the Records are retained in that office) & extent of Lavani Khajgi Pahani at the last settlement. Mr. also be urged

Nov
29.9.13

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GV

GPB—20,000—26 1 12

G.R.S. 12.
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 605 of 1911-12

Serial No.

Submitted with 3 Pfs
NW(115)
30.9 13.

Appd
Cs
30.9.13

5 To The Dy. Commr. Bangalore Dt.
No 1085 dt 3.10.13 Requests him to direct the Amildar to hold a thorough enquiry in the matter.

6 To The Superintendent of Inam Setts.
No 1086 dt 3.10.13 Requests him to furnish extract from the Inam Registers, pertaining to the Inam of Nilsandra Village.

7 To Supdt. of Rev. Survey.
No 1087 dt 3.10.13 Calls for any information that may have been collected on the matter.

6 & 7

The reports called for in Serials 5, 6 and 7 have not as yet been received Reminds are submitted

Nos 1165 + 1166 dt 23/10/13

NW(115)
20-10 1913
Signt

Cs
21.10.1913

8. From, The Inam Supdt
Letter no 37 dt 21-10-1913.
Submits a copy of the Inam register of Nilasandra Village

GPB—50,000—27.4.09

R. S., 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 665 of 1913

Serial No.

in the Bangalore Taluk.

The lands are known as Devadays intended for puje to Dharmaraya Swamy at Halsoorpet, Bangalore

The Reports called for from the Bangalore Dy. Commissioner and the Superintendent of Revenue-Survey in Mysore may be awaited.

Submitted

NV(15)
1.11.1913

Send an urgent-reminder

Utn Dl comm.

12.11.13

Dft Remr submtd

NV(15)
13.11.13

Remr issued on 15-11-1913.

9 From, the Survey Supdt.
Letter no 50 Dy 29-10-1913.

States that no information regarding the holdings in Sarvamanya Nelasandra Village is available, as the settlement has not yet been introduced into the Village and adds that the Amildar of the Bangalore Taluk who is managing the Village, may furnish the necessary informatn

The Deputy Commissioner's reply

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6 12

R. S., 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 605 of 1914

Serial No.

may be awaited.

Submts

W(115) 21.11.1913. Rdy

Mean urgent. Reminder Re 2.12.13

An urgent Dft. Remr. Submts.

W(115) 5.12.13 Rdy

dftd Re 8/12

Reminder to Dc. Bangalore issued vide No 1628 Dy 15.12.1913.

10/16 From, The Dy Commr, Bangalore Distt

Letter no 554 Dy 17-1-1914.

Submts further report in regard to the history of the tenancy of land in the Sarvamanya Nilasandra Village endowed to Dharmaraya Swamy temple.

From, the vernacular records it is observed as follows:-

y In his Kyphiyat dated 6th Nov 1913 before the Taluk Amildar, Chinnaswami Modaliar states that he and his uncle received Rs 1380 being the compensation amount paid by the Collector of the Civil and Military Station, for the acquisition of the lands in their holding, that is

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

Serial No.

addition to this land, they are in possession of 17 and odd acres of land, on payment of fixed kandaya to the temple, that the temple manager has no authority to dispossess them of their lands nor can he enhance or reduce the kandaya originally fixed, and that they have no documents to show how they came to the possession of the lands.

Venkatasubba Modliar son of Rajagopala Modliar says that his father died some 8 months ago and that they are holding lands as kadiem tenants.

X One Veerabhadrappa who holds the adjoining land deposes that he purchased his land, that he and Rajagopala Modliar hold lands subject to the payment of kayam kandaya and that he has no documents in support of his statement.

T Somappa and other ryots depose that they have been paying ~~Cash~~ kandaya in cash to the temple, that they have produced sale deeds, that the right of paying kandaya is being sold, and these deeds are attested by the manager of the village, that the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

G. R. S. 12]

316

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 605 of 1917.

Serial No.

land taken up by Govt is a land subject to the payment of fixed kandaya and not a land given out for patta or on Varam tenure.

X The Sambag says that he has no records to show whether the lands were originally given to the ryots either for kandaya or for Varam, and that he has been collecting kandaya for the lands in the enjoyment of the ryots.

notices have been issued (it is reported) to the Dharmadarsis of the temple, but these have produced no documents.

Submitted for orders

NV (115) ABA 23/1 1914 23/1

D.O letter of the Amildar was sent. a copy of the ml. register often is to ascertain in rotary assessment the village. No reply yet has been received this and the file is consequently delayed Sir

Subd of 1st member

From the evidence now recorded often Amildar, there does not appear to be any claim for the temple. There is no instance on record often temple having actually leased the lands in the village, or often rent being raised or paid in kind. The evidence is equivalent of that of Kandayam in surrounding Govt villages. There are [illegible]

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—60 000—7 11 16

G. R. S. 127

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 191 . D

Serial No.

occupancy rights having ~~been~~ transferred without the leave of the temple authorities. From all these incidents the inference is irresistible that the tenants are Kadim tenants and that the temple is only entitled to the Settled Kandayam. For the loss of this amount; the compensation granted appears to be quite correct. If this view is accepted the D.C. cannot maybe required to accept the compensation on behalf of the temple The Inam register does not show any right in the subject and detailed settlement has not been carried out in the village

I am not satisfied with this conclusion. The property being that of a temple situated without our limits, apparently the interest of the institution have not been safeguarded by either of the authorities. If the property were within Mysore, would not the Inam Rules apply so as to render it illegal to

NOTE.—Contn sheet.

GPB—60 000—7 11 1.

G. R. S 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 191 .

Serial No.

alienate any part of it by permanent leases or grant of occupancy rights to holders of these lands. If on the other hand, our law cannot be applied to this village within the limits of the C & M. Station why should the tenants be regarded as having occupancy rights as Kadim tenants. I am not aware that there is any enactment in force in the C&M Station which recognises such tenant rights. Unless there are satisfactory answers to these queries, I think we should make a reference to the Residency pointing out how this institution has been unjustly subjected to loss and requesting that such remedy may be given as may be possible in the circumstances of the case.

13/8/14.

13/8

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—60 000—7 11 13

G R. S. 12]

Jpres 316-13 1316 22

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 605 of 1911.

Serial No.

Jpres 316-13

Forwarded to Br. III for favour of intimating if any tenancy act is in force in C&M Station and if so whether there is any legal presumption in the circumstances of the present case.

Lea
Ur ?

Submitted with the latest a list containing the enactments in force in the C & M Station Bangalore. There does not seem to be any tenancy Act in force there.

(48)
26/3/14

The Transfer of Property Act of 1882 is in force in the C+M Station; + under Sec. 106 thereof, leases of immoveable property shall be deemed to be leases from year to year in the absence of a Contract or local law or usage to the Contrary. Then the onus of proving that the lease is anything more than a yearly lease will be on the tenants. There is no presumption in favour of the tenants having full occupancy rights

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—60,000—7-11 13

G&R S. 12]

1316

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 605 of 191/ .

Serial No.

On the other hand, under the existing law, the presumption is all the other way subject to proof being address by the tenants that they are Kadim tenants

Br VII

SPR 28/3

Submitted with a draft letter to the Residency as personally directed.

28/3/14

RL 18/4/14

Subd for Vt. member

A typed draft is herewith submitted for approval I am sorry there has been some unusual delay owing to my absence from the station and the necessity to study up the law on the subject.

RL

Draft approved. 20.4.14

It would perhaps have been better to have omitted the words [illegible] this connection was [illegible] is [illegible]

30/4/14

NOTE—Contn sheet.

G.P.P.—60,000—7 11 13

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 605 of 191/ .

Serial No.	
	Submitted to the Dewan before issuing the draft of the Resolution D. M. Narasimhaiar 30/4 Dewan)
9367 1.5.14	Draft approved by the Dewan 14 5 1/5/14 14/5
11	To The First Asst to the Resident. Ref. No 9289 20.5.14 Observes irregularities in the acquisition proceedings and requests such remedy as possible may be afforded.
12	From the First Asst to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore. Memo No. 6907 dated 25.9.14 Forwards copy of letter No. 3944 d: 16.9.14 from the Collector, C & M Station Bangalore with its 3 enclosures for information and for a further expression of their views of the Darbar. Subd for orders RK 1/10 30/9 1.10.14 Is there any standing order of Govt: about division of compensation between Landlord & Tenant (Kadim or otherwise)

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—60,000—7 11 13

C. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 605 of 191 .

Serial No.

Under the [illegible]

On an informal enquiry in Bde I, it is learnt that there is no order on the point. The file may be referred unofficially to that Branch for opinion

The Amildar has taken over the management of the main lands situated within the limits of the civil and military Station, Bangalore, as ordered in para 2 of Go no 17 Mucz 1-99 dy 5th July 1905.

Submitted for orders

MM(115)
24.10.14

Forwarded for favour of opinion & Remark I. What would be the legitimate basis of Compensation which the Amildar temple may claim if there are any precedents in the shape of Govt orders or Court decisions they may also be kindly [illegible] The matter may kindly be treated as urgent.

Vide Cancl - do.

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—30 0-0—15 9 11

C. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Muz File No. 605 of 191 1.

Serial No.

Subd -

I have not been able to trace any precedents or Standing orders in the matter.

Sections 30 and 31 of the L A Regn. Seem to govern cases of the kind in question - If any dispute arises as to the apportionment of compensation or any part thereof, or as to the person to whom the same or any part thereof is payable, the Dy Commr. has to refer such dispute to the decision of the Civil Court. In such cases, the amount of compensation awarded under the Regulation has to be deposited in the Court. (Section 31 (2)) & (Rule XXXII of the Rules). - Please See Rule X of paragraph 20 of Standing order No. 90 of the Madras Rev. Board -.

Under the last proviso to Sec. 31 (2) of the L A Regn. any person who may receive the whole or any part of the Compensation awarded, is liable to pay the same to the person lawfully entitled thereto.

The principles on which com-

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—30 0-0—15 9 11

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mcg File No. 605 of 191 /.

Serial No.

may be paid in the case of unenfranchised Inams acquired under the Madras L. A. Act, are enunciated in paragraph 28 of the Madras Board's Standing order No 90. May are that the essential part of the Inam is an assignment by Govt. of some revenue due to them, and that this assignment or an equivalent thereof should be guaranteed to the Inamdar in the Settlement of Compensation.

In the present case, it has to be decided by a Court whether the Inamdar (temple) is entitled to the value of the land acquired (3 acres), or loss of revenue on the 3 acres. Since the interest of the Inamdar was not represented at the time of the award of compensation by the Collector of the B & N Station, it is a point for consideration whether the Collector may not be asked to refer the matter to the Civil Court in the B. & N. Station for determining the party who is entitled to receive compensation for the land - If the Inam [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—30,0 0—15 9 14

[G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 605 of 191/.

Serial No.

Subd to 1st. member

The Collector C & M states has taken the statements of the recipients of the Compensation and has warned them that they should reimburse the Govt. if they should establish their claim.

In this connection two questions have to be considered (1) the actual extent of the claim of the temple (2) How the claim should be enquired.

As regards the 1st point there does not seem to be any guidance from precedents or decided cases. In the case reported at page 101 of Mysore Rep. Vol XIV, a rough apportionment also appears to have been attempted. Under Sub-Rule (2) of Rule 3 of the proposed rules laid down for guidance of Mysore Officers at pages XXII and XXVI of the Manual the only person to be considered the ideal Compensation is to purchase the lands at the Govt. assessment awarded and then have the extent converted into Inam Lands with a fair Inam equivalent. What I mean is that the Compensation should be

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—30,000—15 9 14

12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 605 of 191/ .

Serial No.

possible the Compensation would have invested so as to produce a cash payment of not less than 12½ times the Survey Assessment which in this case will mean Rs 397-12 as. vide para XXVI. page 815 Rev. Manual

In the present case however there are also equities on behalf of the tenants which cannot be overlooked They have been in undisturbed possession paying a moiyan and very moderate money rent for a series of years and their rights of cultivation such as they are have also come down bought and sold. It is hardly equitable to treat them merely as tenants-at-will without any interest in the land beyond the application of the Compensation year to

Roughly a division of the Kudivaram right which is Rs 580 into equal proportions between the Temple and the tenants will be equitable, the Temple alone being entitled to the annual due to the Umayalpuram. I am not sure that the tenants will accept cash settlement on these lines. If they do not then

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—30,000—15-9 14

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 605 of 191 /.

Serial No.

have a fairly good case on merits.

A reference may again be made to the Residency pointing out with reference to para 2 of the Members letter that the presumption of hardship under the circumstances often can and that the proper compensation for the temple were to buy out afresh. Award the lands for the temple the temple but that the Govt. were willing to grand one half of the Compensation for hereditary right to the tenants as recognition of their long connection with the land. It does not appear expedient to [illegible] suggested that this stage that the A proceedings under the [illegible]

A reply may be sent that 3/4 of the Amount is claimed on behalf of the temple as the tenants who had no permanent occupancy rights and liable to be evicted by the landlord at any time by the landlord's will

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25 000—2 11 11

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 605 of 1911.

Serial No.

would be amply Compensated by getting the remaining fourth for themselves but that the Durbar would Accept any decision which may Commend itself as equitable to the Hon'ble the Resident.

24/4/15 26/4

Draft Sanctd 15

Draft appd by First member 1 5 15.

Sanctd 15

2086 4.5.15

The draft as approved by the Hon. Member in Council may issue

10.5.15

13 No: 1122 dt 13.5.15 — to the Asst to the Pol Resident-in Mysore & Hon'ble the Bangalore — acknowledges receipt —

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—95 000—2 11 14

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 605 of 191/ .

Serial No.	
	receipt of SC 12 and states that the Dubar considers that ⅔ of the compensation amount should be made payable to the Dharmadarsanda temple & ⅓ to the tenants who would be amply compensated by letting it, and adds that the Dubar will accept any decision which may commend itself as equitable to the Resident.
AB	From the 1st Assistant Resident. Letter Pol. no. 2581 dt 29.6.15. Discusses the legal aspect of the claim to compensation advanced on behalf of Sri Dharmaraya Swami Temple, and suggests that if it is desired to press the claim on behalf of the Mandar, the best course would be to file a suit for the adjudication of the rights of the parties, and adds that the sum of Rs 157-800 being the compensation awarded to the Mandar will be sent to the Amildar, Pattukottai Taluk, if that officer is specially by the Dubar to receive the amount on behalf of the Temple.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—2-11-14

R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 605 of 1911.

Serial No.

It is also stated that in the event of similar cases arising in future, the Durbar will be consulted before disposing of any claim to Compensation

Secretary.
7/11/15
5-7-15

7 Subject to Hon. member
At page 6 to 10 of the notes the Govt. advocate has discussed the legal aspects of the case. The interference of the Hon'ble the Resident was solicited in his capacity as the executive head of the administration to quash the proceedings but he feels a doubt in the matter. His reference to a possible relief under S 18 of the L. A Regn is inapplicable in the present case as the statutory period is long since past.

In the circumstances of the case, may the Secretary be requested to instruct the amildar to accept the compensation amount as directed. The same in the Savings Bank for the benefit of the temple

Sir,
6.7.

GPB—25,000—22-3-15

2129

35

J. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mus File No. 605 of 1911-12

Serial No.

When was the amount received by the tenants? Would a suit against them for recovery be in time?

Hrs

30/7/15

Hrs
30/7/15

Subd 5th Nov. member

In the report of the Asst Com dated 21st March 1912, it is stated that compensation to the tenants has been paid prior to that date. It has since been ascertained by enquiry at the Collectorate Office that the payments were made on 4th March 1912. The Collector's notice to the amildars to receive payment is dated 8th March 1912, and though it is not expressly stated therein that payments to tenants have been made separately, it seems that it is implied that separate compensation has been awarded to them.

It would appear that articles

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—25,000—22-3-16

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 605 of 1914-15

Serial No.

Cl 9 & 62 of the 2nd Schedule of the Limitation Act is applicable to the case and the period of limitation seems to have been observed.

Sd
6.8.15.

The amount given may be received & the matter dropped. Issue orders accordingly.

8/8/15 Sd

Sd
10/8/15

Draft. Sd
6-8-15.

NW

Submitted with D/S.

W(94)/1915 13/8 Draft letter to the Dy Com'r Bang. & Addl. Dy Commr and to the Resident and Asst. Resident, Kolar Dist.

RG
28-8-15 Draft appd. Submit fair copy after letter to Resident & Secy for Signature

Sd
29.8.15

15 Pol No: 250 dy 28.8.15, — To the 15th Addl to the Hon'ble the President in Mysore - stating that the Dy Com'r

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—05 000—9-11 14

Urgent

~~191~~

From The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District
Bangalore.

To The Officiating Secretary
to the Govt. of Mysore
Genl Rev: Depts

Dated

Despatched 4th April 1912

Received

General No. S. 364
No.

Enclosure No. ~~2~~ ~~Encs~~ 1 file

DIARY No. 1599

10/4-12

Muz

605-11

1

Encls report no:
1333 of 21.3.1912 from B and States
that the Savanangla village
of Neelasandra in the limits
of C & M. Stations has been
endowed to Dharmaraya
Swami temple of Bangalore

GPB—1.50 000 20-6 11

Office of the Deputy-Commissi
Bangalore District,
Dated Bangalore, 4th April 1912.

No. Jo.S.366
From Revenue

B.J.Kumaraswami Naik Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

To

C.S.Balasundaram Iyer Esq., B.A.,
Offg: Secretary to the Government of H.H.
the Maharaja of Mysore, Genl and Rev.Deptts.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit herewith Bangalore Taluk Amildar's report No.1333 dated 21st March 1912, and to state that the Sarvamanya village of Nilasandra situated within the limits of the Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, has been endowed to the Dharmaroyaswami temple of Bangalore City. Out of this village an extent of 3 acres of land in the tenancy of one Chinnaswami Mudaliar and Rajagopala Mudaliar has been acquired by the Collector of the Civiland Military Station for the extension of the Police lines and the Collector has sent a notice dated the 8th March 1912 to the Amildar of -- Bangalore Talukrequiring him to appear before him on the 13th March 1912 to receive the compensation amount awarded to the Inamdar(Dharmarayaswami temple)for loss of revenue, calculated at 30 times the assessment at Rs.1-12-0 per acre, which comes toRs.157-8-0 for 30 years for the 3 acres of land acquired. The notice was received by the Amildar on the 12th ultimo and he has submitted a report stating that the Inam village belongs to the temple of Dharmaroyaswami in Bangalore, that the lands have now been acquired without giving either notice or intimation to the Amildarwho has been appointed as Manager of the lands of the Inam village in question under Government -- Order No.17/Muz.F.1-99 dated 5th July 1905 and as trustee of the temple or to the Deputy Commissioner.

2. The Amildar states that he learns that a compensation

39

compensation of Rs.1380/- for the 3 acres of land at Rs.400/- per acre has already been paid to the above named tenants as noted in the margin. But in the notice received by him it is stated that only the loss of Revenue calculated at 30 times the assessment amounting to Rs.157-8-0 is due to the Inamdar(Temple). The Amildar requests instructions whether he may accept the amount.

1. Jhinnaswami Mudaliar for 1 acre, 36 guntas ...	Rs.760/-
2. Rajagopal Mudaliar for 1 acre, 4 guntas. ...	,, 440/-
Total	1200/-
Add 15 per cent ...	180/-
	Rs.1380/-

It will be seen from the Amildar's report that no formal notice was given to him as required under section 9 of the Land Acquisition Regulation. I solicit therefore instructions as to whether the compensation awarded by the Collector may be accepted or the latter may be requested to refer the matter to the Civil Court for adjudication as to the persons to whom it is payable, whether the Inamdar is entitled to the whole amount or whether the tenants are also entitled to it and if so as to what extent. It is reported that the lands of the Inam village have been surveyed but the settlement has not ~~yet~~ been introduced as yet.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner.

1912-13

From
The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To
The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore
General & Revenue Depts.

Dated 1st August 1912

Despatched

Received

General No.

S No. 55

Enclosure No. 1

Forwards Bangalore Taluk Amildar's Report re. the Sowandya Nilasandra Village of the Dharmaraya Swamy Temple 3 Acres of which have been acquired by the Collector C & M. Station Bangalore.

No. 219
12 13
605-11
No. 2

T. 55

en. 1

40

Office of the
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District
Dated Bangalore, 31st July 1912
1-8-12

From

B. J Ramaswami Naik Esq B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District

To

K. R. Srinivasa Iyengar Esq. M.A.
Offg Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General & Revenue Department,
Bangalore

Sir,

D1519 In continuation of this office letter no. 366 dated 4th April 1912, requesting instructions as to whether the compensation awarded by the Collector of Civil & Military Station, Bangalore, for the acquisition of 3 acres of land in the Sarvamanya village of Nilasandra situated within the limits of the Civil & Military Station and endowed to the Dharmarayaswami temple of Bangalore City, may be accepted or the Collector requested to refer the matter to the Civil Court for adjudication, I have the honor to forward herewith, in original, Bangalore Taluk Amildar's report no. 2306 dated 23rd June 1912 submitting the Civil & Military Station Collector's memo no. 2412

dated

dated 14th June 1912 requesting the Amildar to appear before the Collector to receive the explanation in the case under reference, and to request that orders may be passed early in the matter,

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

G.R.S. 29A]

42

190 .

From

Secy. to the Govt of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. Dept.

To

The Dy. Commr
Bangalore Distt

Dated

Despatched 15—11—1912

Received ✓

General No. 579

Mma No. 605—11—3

Enclosure No. 1/file

Adverting to correspondence ending with his letter no. 55 Dy 1st August 1912 reporting on the acquisition of 3 acres of land in the Sarvamanya village of Vela-Sandra for the extension of the Police lines by the Collector, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, - has the honor to enquire whether the Tenants who were in possession of these lands

MGCPB—20,000—16-2 05

ered ~~&~~ dim tenants
having a right to the
land or ~~many~~ only tenants
at will having no manner
of proprietary right over
the lands.

2) The records are retd
for reference and re-
submission.

By order
sd/-
30/10/12
for Hg. Secretary
29.10.12.

1913 – 14

From

The Deputy Commissioner

DIARY No 607
1913 14
SECTION Mu2
No. 605-11
SERIAL No. 4

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore General & Revenue Departments.

Dated

Despatched 15th September 13

Received

General No. S. 297
No

Enclosure No One File

Stating that the tenants, who were in possession of the acquired lands in the Sarvamanya lands in the Nilasandra Village for the extension of the Cantonment Station Police lines are not Kadim tenants.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore

Dated Bangalore, 15th September 1912.

No.-----

From

K.Chandy Esq.,B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Bangalore District.

To

K.R.Sreenivasa Iyengar Esq.,M.A.,

Secretary to the Government of H.H.

the Maharaja of Mysore, Genl.and R.v.Deptts.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. S 79/605-11-3 Muz. dated 15. 11. '12 enquiring whether the tenants who were in possession of the lands acquired in the Surya manya Nilasandra village of the Bangalore Taluk for the extension of the C. and M Station Police lines were Hadivan tenants, I have the honor to state that the Amildar of Bangalore Taluk reports that the land referred to is a Devadaya Inam of the Dharmaraj temple, Bangalore city, which was under the management of the headman of the Tigalars before the same was taken under Muzarai management. The lands are reported to have been given for cultivation by the above person and no accounts are reported to be kept in the village. As the manager had no authority to give these lands

For

45

for Kaycam cultivation, the tenants in question cannot be treated as Kadiem tenants having particular right for the lands.

2. The vernacular file with the Amildars report No. 301 dated 30.8.1913, is submitted herewith for reference and return.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

K Alexander

Deputy Commissioner

GL

G. R. S. 26]

5 Nos 379 3585 46

File No. 605
Serial No. 1911/5

Diary No. of letter replied to 607

Urgent

No. 1085 Exp/10

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 191 .

To

The Dy. Commr,
Bangalore Dist.

Date of issue 3.10.1913

Signed by W. G. Aravamudan

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. 294 Dy 15th September 1913 reporting that the tenants — Chinnaswami Mudaliar and Rajagopala Mudaliar — who were in possession of 3 acres of land out of the Sarvamanya village of Nilasandra endowed to the Dharmanayasamy Temple of Bangalore city, cannot be treated

over.

SUBJECT.

Post 10.

as Kadim tenants having a right to the lands, I am directed to observe that after all the delay, Report no 301 of more than 9 months, dy 30th August 1913 from the Amildar of the Bangalore Tq giving the above information is most unsatisfactory as it appears the ~~is not~~ based on ~~any such~~ mere surmises. ~~of enquiry. instituted, and~~

I am therefore to request you to direct the Amildar to hold a thorough enquiry into the matters and submit the record within 15 days.

2. The records are herewith returned for ref. and re-enquiry.

I have etc.

Sd
30.9.13
for Secretary.

DFH

RG
30/9/13

2) If documentary evidence is not available, statements may be taken from the old tenants of the village as regards the previous history of the tenancy of the land and when and under what conditions the lands came into the possession of Mudalis and Names may be taken of the nature of the tenancy of the adjoining fields which also be a valuable guide as also the existence of lands in the possession for which is shared between the Inamdar and tenants. Is a system of short term leases in vogue in the village or is a fixed money rent reserved from the tenants

List of enclosures

Copied by
Examined by

3875

G. R. S. 26]

48

File No. 605-11

Serial No. 6

Diary No. of letter replied to - -

No. 1086

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

To

The Supdt of Inam Settlements

in Mysore,

Date of issue 3 10 1913

Signed by [illegible]

Sir,

I am directed to request you to kindly furnish ~~to the~~ ~~at an early date~~ ~~furnished~~ with an extract of the inam register pertaining to the grant of Nilasandra village situated within the limits of the civil and Military Station, Bangalore, as inam to the Dharmarajaswamy temple, Bangalore city

I have etc

2 o

for Secretary

RG

30/9/13

SUBJECT.

Dft

as Kadim tenants having a right to the lands, I am directed to observe that after all the delay, of nine years, Report no. 301 Dy 30th August 1913 from the Amildar of the Bangalore Dy giving the above information is most unsatisfactory as it appears ~~to not~~ based on ~~any such~~ ~~of enquiry~~ ~~instituted~~, ~~and~~ more summary.

I am therefore to request you to direct the Amildar to hold a thorough enquiry into the matter and submit the record within 15 days.

2) The records are herewith returned for ref. and

I have etc.

Sd
30.9.13
for Secretary

Dft

Sd
30/9/13

2) ~~of~~ documentary is not available, ~~Extract~~ enquiry taken from the old tenants of the village as regards the previous history of the tenancy of the land and when and under what conditions the lands came under the possession of ~~Mudalis~~ Chikkavarus and Names among Mudalis the nature of the tenancy of the adjoining fields which also be a valuable guide as also the existence of lands in ~~the~~ List of enclosures possession for which is shared between the Inamdar and tenants. Is a system of short term leases in vogue in the village or is a fixed money rent. recovered from the ~~Inam~~ tenants.

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—25,000—8-8-11.

3575

G. R. S. 26]

48

File No. 605-1

Serial No. 6

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. 1086

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191

To

The Supdt of Inam Settlements

in Mysore,

Date of issue 3 - 10 - 1913

Signed by [illegible]

Sir,

I am directed to request
you to be so good as to furnish
~~at your early date~~ ~~forthwith~~ with an
extract of the inam register
pertaining to the Grant of
Yelahanka village situated within
the limits of the Civil and
Military Station, Bangalore,
as inam to the Dharma-
jagadswamy Temple, Bangalore city
I have etc
[illegible]
for Secretary

The Price

SUBJECT

RG
30/9/13

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—25,000—8-8-11.

3755

G. R. S. 26]

49

File No. 6054

Serial No. 7

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. 1057

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 191 .

To

The Deputy of Rev - Survey

in Mysore

Date of issue 3 - 10 - 1913

Signed by W. C. Narayana Rao

Sir,

I am directed to state that the question re the acquisition on payt of ~~Suitable~~ Compensation, of 3 acres of land originally in the holding of Chinnaswamy Mudaliar and Rajagopala Mudaliar, out of Sarvamanya Village of Nilasandra endowed to the Dharmarayaswamy Temple

over.

SUBJECT

of Bangalore city for the
extension of the Police lines
in the Civil and Military
Station, Bangalore, is ~~under the~~
the consideration
~~attention~~ of Govt.—
I am to request you to furnish
at an early date
this office with any ~~extracts~~
Lavani Phaisal Patrak prepared
at the last Settlement of
~~the Village together with all~~
useful information that may have been
recent
collected during the Survey
of the above village so far as
it ~~specifically relates to the right of the Inamdar~~
~~of the Inam in the land or any of the assessment thereon~~
~~the lands in question.~~

I have etc.

Sd
30.9.13
for Secretary

DBB

Sd
30/9/13

may specifically relate
to the rights of the Inamdar
to the occupancy of the
land or any of the
assessment due thereon

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—25,000—8-8-11.

Sd 2/10

57

No. 37
Enc. One

Office of the Superintendent of
Inam Settlements in Mysore,
Dated Bangalore, 21st October 1913.

From

DIARY No. 793

Rajakarya Prasakta
D. Shama Rao, Esq., B.A.,
Superintendent of Inam Settlements
in Mysore, Bangalore.

19/319/14
SECTION MUZ
FILE No. 605-1
SERIAL No. 8

To

K. R. Srinivasa Iyengar Esqr, M A,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev Depts.

Sir,

As requested in your letter No:

1086 of the 3rd October 1913, I have the
muz 605-11-6
honor to forward herewith, a copy of the
Inam Register pertaining to the grant of
Nilasandra Village, Bangalore Taluk

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant,

D. Shama Rao

Superintendent of
Inam Settlements

GPB—200—20 3 13

Sammat of Halsur in the Taluk of Bangalore 53

Old assessment	Survey assessment	DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND Description of Inam If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued If for tanks, buildings, etc, whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, etc. or liable to quit-rent, i e., jodi, etc, amount of latter to be entered
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the raiyats to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7			
6	7	8	9
	— o —		
	Berij.	For rupee every day to Dharma-raya Swami at Halasoor with, Bangalore Temples in good order and poojo performed	Jodi as per account of 1838-9 & 1825-6
	As per Huzur Sarvamanyam Patti of 1825-6.		P F V -9-4
Total berij	P F V Rs as P 54 7 12 159-5-6		Rs A. P 2-11-1
Deduct Jaghirs	3:8:8 11 3-2		
Jodi	9 4 2-11-1		
Net jari	50-0-0 145-7-3		
Deduct for Dukanahalli	— 18-5- 53+13-3		
Deduct for land taken for public purposes	Proportionate 21-6-0		
	75-3-3		
Balance	70-4-0		
Add	2-11-1		
	72-15-1		
Berij	54-7-12 | 15-9-5-6		
Berij for Dukanahalli	25-8-8 | 75-3-3		
for land taken for Public purposes as above	28-9-4. 84-2-3		

54

DOCUMENT IN SUPPORT OF THE INAM

By whom granted and in what year	Written instruments in support of the claim, original sannad, all miscellaneous documents, and other proofs of long possession. In this column it should be stated whether the Inam is held with or without grant, whether the grant is produced or lost, etc., and the general specification of terms of all documents produced should be given
10	11
Unknown	A Sannad from Maha Raja Krishnaraja Wodiyar to the Amildar and Shirastadar Shistadar dated 4th december 1811, directing the Continuance of the village valued at Pag 50.

Extent and assessment awarded for T.D Asli Nellasandra Jodi

		K. K. P.
Extent	Dry	4 - 1 - 4 3/16
	Wet	9 - 14 - 6 1/4
		13 - 15 - 10 7/16
Valuation Rs		12 - 15 - 1
Jodi		2 - 11 - 1
C Q R		2 - 12 - 0

Dakle Kurkanhalli

	K. K. P.
Dry	3 - 6 - 13 1/4
Valuation	53 - 13 - 2
Jodi	- - -
C. Q. R	- - -

Dakale Honigasandra

Dry	1 - 8 - 7 13/16
Val	21 - 6 - 0
Jodi	0 - 0 - 0
C. Q. R	0 - 0 - 0

Total extent Dry & Wet — 18 - 10 - 15 1/2
valuation — 148 - 2 - 3
Existing Jodi being a Devadaya 2 - 1 - 0

55

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Poornaiah up to 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810
12	13	14
Tenkuown — Nil —		Huzur accounts 1 Sarvamanyam Villages acct 1825.6 Dharmarayeswami of Halsoor per, Bangalore Nilasandra — 1 Small Tank — 1 Beriz — P 7 V 54 7 12 Jari Inam 3 8 8 Jodi on account of resumed Inams } 0-9 4 Kadim Beriz Inuzrat 50 0 0 ⊗ Deduct land added to Cantonment in 1812 3 } 18.5 0 31 5 0
other land given in Dukkanahalli Krishnarajapura ⊗ 18-5-0 50-0-0		
II 1838 9 (P. 10-12) Name of Village & Temple as in other Accts. Beriz 54-7-12 × Jari Inam 3-8-8 50-9-4 Jodi 0-9-4 Jari 50-- -- Nilasandra 31-5-0 Dukkanahalli 18 5-0 (1812.3) K. K. (Old) P (3 6 - 13 1/4)	× Corrected (Illegible) JK Enjoyed by Devastan + 35-5.8 — to — Mortagee — 14-4-8 + Since redeemed vide State (Illegible) JK	
IV Taluq Jamabandi Ghoswara 1812 Names of Village & Temple as in other Accts. Beriz 15-9.5.6 Jodi 2.11.1 Inam 11.3.2 Inuzra 145-7.3 Nilsandra 91.10.12 Dukkanalli 53.13.3	⊗ other land given in Dukkanahalli (Illegible) JK	II — 1831 2 — Village & Temples as above — P 7 V Beriz Kadeem 50 9 4 Deduct Jari Inam 0 9 4 — on account of land taken up for Cantonment } 18 5 0 × Balance 31 — 5 —

of the

56

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner If the inam has been sub-divided, the name, etc., of each sharer should be entered in the columns.

Residence, name and age, etc	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18
Bangalore Halasoorpet Dharma Raya Swami Managers P.S.T.			

Division

GPB—'00—23.3.06

57

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam Assistant's opinion and recommendation	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
19	20

I In the year 1811, a portion patta of this village was taken up for public purposes and other land given in lieu in Dukanahalli; and again, from 1862 to 1869 portions were taken up for Public purposes and other land given in Hongasandra The grant will therefore be as follows:—

II The Huzur accounts of 1825 6, 1831 2 and 1838 9 give the Boriz of the land given in Dukanahalli, the accounts of 1825 6 and 1838 9 give also the description of the land, viz, dry; and the account of 1838. 9 gives in addition the extent viz, 3-6-13 1/4, So that there is no difficulty in respect of this piece

III But in regard to land taken up from 1862 to 1869, references were applied for by Mr Rama. Simha Iyengar but he could not obtain them in full from the Dy. Comm's Office — The Shanbhog and the Taluk record-Keeper, from copies of orders directing the submission of Statements of land to be given to guide total extent of land taken up as

Dry 1-7-6 7/16
Beg Jeti 6-14 1/8

but in only one instance they can produce copy of a final order of the Dy Comm viz No 174 of the 14 Fe 1865. for

56

K. K. P

for 1-6-13 7/16 — probably if ~~further~~ Search be made, in the Amildars' and Deputy Comm offices, the necessary references could be forth com but this need not delay the Settlement of the village as it is a Devadayam, and it is not necessary to find out the net value thereof in order to fix a A 2 R, as soon as full references have been detailed, Col: 4 and 6 may be filled in as well as the Schedule

IV Meanwhile, as the Sirkar has ordered that whole village Shoved be Settled with in the 20th inst. I beg to recommend that the grant being validated, it might be continued under Rule V Subject to existing Jodi or 2-12-0.

V. The Inam is Subject to all Local and Irrigation Cesses

VI. Abkari Sayer, and Forest rights are reserved to the State.

VII The village has been Surveyed - in detail

Grant—

	village	Description of land	Extent	Rent	Galli	Jodi	Jodi R	R.S.No	page No	Case No	Remarks
A	Meesandra	Dry	4-1-43/16	72 15 1	"	2-11-1	2 12 0	338	—	1	
		Wet	9 6 6 1/4								
B	Gukanaballi	Dry	3-6-13 1/4	53-13 3	—	—	—	177	—	1	
C	Hongasandra	Dry	1-8-7 13/16	21-6-0	—	—	—	27	—	4	
			18-10-15 1/2	148-2-3	—	2-11-1	2-12-0				

I. J. D to be issued

(Sd) T Thitto
asst

11.7.76.

57

Note

A. Hedges and Trees — 3 (Serviceable)

B. Statistics

1 Area — 1
2 Population 360
3 Houses — 117
4 Temples — 3
5. Tank — 1
6 Wells — 2
7 Tobe — 1.
8 Looms — 4
9 Shops — 3

C Revenue by Jodidars

1 Land Revenue
2 Inhabitants
3 Amount
4. Local Cesses

D. Boundaries have been defined by the Survey Department.

60

Review by the Special Assistant and Confirmation by the Inam Commissioner

20.

1 Asli and 2 Dhakale Devadaya Jari

2. Being a whole village all within the boundaries becomes confirmed we must only see that a proper equivalent for the land taken up is given and no more.

3 Confirmed on the good Rs 2-12-0 and Subject to all Local Fund and irrigation cesses.

4 Rights to Sayer and rabkari and Forests are reserved

5 A valuation Survey as desired.

(Sd) K Cole
Superintendent

26 / 7 / 16.

6. The Statement Showing the Survey area and valuation of the village has been received

Column 20 Continued

with the Survey Superintendent's letter No 80 of the 12th February 1878 of the proportion which the old valuation of the village bears to its Survey Valuation has been the basis for valuing the minor Inams as per Inam Commissioner's letter No. 512 of the 30th May 1879.

8. The old valuation of the village being Pagodas 289-4 = (Rs 84-2-3) as per Col 6 and the Survey valuation being Rs 553-12-0 The latter gives to one Fanam of the former Rs 1-14-8 upon which the valuation of the minor Inams is based

9. The following tabular Statement shows the area and valuation of the village as per Survey Superintendent's Statement.

Survey area and Valuation of The village			Deduct for Three minor Drains		Remarks	
Uncultivable	45·9	8·8·0	—	—	45·9	8·8·0
Dry	57·1	104·3·10	8·0	49·0·0	49·1	55·3·10
Garden	44·29	204·11·11	—	—	44·29	204 " "
Rice	51·11	237·5 9	1·28	36·0·0	49·23	201·5 9
	198·10	554·13·6 or 553·12 0	9·28	85·0·0	188·22	469·13·6 or 468·12·0

10 Confirmed finally on the 30th of Rs 2-12-0.

(Sd) Robert Cole
Superintendent
of S. Settls

19·2·80.

Revised as per the Dewans Pro. No 1701-9/133 dated 2-9-81.

12 vide the Statement received with the Survey Supdts Docket No 799/79 dated 23rd December 1882.

	A. G	Rs. As. P
Total Area and ass^{t}	198·10	554-13-6
Deduct for 3 minor Drains	9·28	85-0-0
Balance	188·22	469-13-6

Particulars.

		A. G.	Rs. as Ps.
Uncultivable =		45 9	8 – 8 – 0
cultivates	Dry	49. 1	55 – 3 – 10
	Wet	49 23	201 – 5 – 9
	Garden	44 29	204 – 11 – 11
Total		188. 22	469 13 6

13. Finally Confirmed on the Jodi Of Rs 2 – 12 – 0.

(Sd) J. P. Grant—

7-4-91 Superintendent—

14 Revised as per The Govt Fro: No. 14223-55 / P 7 100-93 dated 17th February 1894

15. Vide The Statement received with the Survey Sub dts' yadi No. 237 dated 3rd July 1896.

Total area & Asst	198 10	553-12-0
Deduct for 3 minor Inams	9 28	85 0. 0
Balance	188 22	468-12-0

64

Particulars.

Uncultivable	45.9	8-8-0
Cultivated		
Dry	49.1	56-0-0
Wet	49.23	201-4-0
Garden	44.29	203-0-0
Total	188 22	468-12-0

16. Finally Confirmed on the Jodi (2-12-0).

(Sd) J. P. Grant
Superintendent

5-11-97

Compd
Bst.

"Copy"

Bangalore
21st Octr. 1913

K. Nanda Rao
Superintendent of
Inam Settlements.

Office of the

No. 57. Superintendent Revenue Survey in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore 29 October 1913.

65 859

13/14

Muz

605-11

9

From,

Rajakarya Prasakta

Rao Bahadur, D. Shama Rao,

Superintendent Revenue Survey in Mysore.

To,

K. R. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esquire, M. A.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments,

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.1087-Muz.605-11-7 dated 3rd October 1913 regarding the acquisition on payment of compensation of certain lands in the Sarvamanya village of Nilasandra, I have the honor to state that as the settlement has not yet been introduced into the village, no information regarding the holdings in question is available in this Department. The Amildar of the Bangalore Taluk, under whose management the village is, may I think, be able to furnish the necessary information.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

D Shama Rao

Superintendent Revenue Survey

in Mysore.

DIARY No. 1316

1913-1914
SECTION Judicial
FILE No. 605-11
ITEM No. 4-10

VII
1911 or 191

From The Deputy Commissioner
Bhagalpur District

To The Secretary to Govt
Revenue Department

Dated 17-1-14

Despatched

Received

General No. S. 537
No.

Enclosure No. 1

Stating that since no documentary evidence is available in the matter, the statements of Chaukidars & villagers are recorded & submitted with the originals of the documents produced by them & forwarding the mauzawar records endorsing the statements for reference & return.

66

Office of the [illegible]
Bangalore District
Dated Bangalore 17th January 1913

From

A Ramaiya B.A.
[illegible]
Bangalore Circle

To

The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department
General and Miscellaneous Departments
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No
1085/Misc 605-11-5 dated 3rd October 1913
calling for certain additional information
in regard to the history of the tenancy of land
in the Sirvamanya Nilasandra village
endowed to the Dharmaraya Swamy Temple
of Bangalore city, I have the honor to
state that the Amildar of Bangalore Taluk
reports that since no documentary evidence

evidence is available in the matter the
67
Statements of Shanbhog and Villagers are
recorded and submitted with the originals
of the documents produced by them.

2 The Shanbhog states that he has
been in charge of the Shanabhogi for 19
or 20 years, that he has no records with
him to show whether the lands were ori-
-ginally given to the ryots either for kan-
-dayam or for varam, and that he has
been collecting kandayam for the lands
in the enjoyment of the ryots.

3 The ryots of the surrounding lands
state that they are enjoying the lands for

Kandayam for a long time, that it is not known how and from whom their lands were originally obtained by them, and that some of them had purchased their lands from other ryots. The originals of sale deeds produced by one Virabhadrappa a neighbouring land holder are enclosed in the vernacular file. He has also states that all these lands are Kandayam lands, that some of them were purchased by his father in the year 1868.

4 The Dharmadarsis on whom also notices were served to produce any documents that may be in their possession,

possession, are reported 69 to have not done so

5. The Vernacular file enclosing the Statements of Shanbhog and Ryots is herewith Submitted for reference and return

K. Chandy

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Draft 70

Page 929.

Signed by Mr D. M. Narasinga Rao

File No. 605-11-11

Government of His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore, General and
Revenue Departments.

Dated, Bangalore, 20th ~~April~~ May 1914

From

The Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

To

The First Assistant to
the Hon'ble the British Resident
in Mysore.

Sir,

I am directed to state that the temple of Sri Dharmarayaswami situated within the limits of the Bangalore City is endowed as Inam with the Sarvamanya village of Nilasandra and ~~of~~ certain lands in the village of Dhukanahalli situated within the limits of the C & M Station. With the kind consent of the Hon'ble the Resident conveyed in your Office letter No.2490 dated 3rd May 1905, the Amildar of Bangalore Taluk was entrusted with the management of these lands, on behalf of the temple and is now actually in charge of the properties.

2. About 2 years ago, 3 acres of dry land in the Sarvamanya Nilasandra appear to have been notified for acquisition on behalf of the Military Works Department for the extension of Police Lines, in the C & M Station.

In the acquisition proceedings taken by the Station Collector no notice was served upon the Amildar on behalf of the Inamdar temple as required under clause 3 of section 9 of the Land Acquisition Act and in the absence of an intimation to this effect the Inamdar was unrepresented at the proceedings, and an award of compensation was declared which is ~~highly~~ prejudicial to the interests of the temple.

3. A total compensation of Rs.1,37-8-0 was awarded by the Collector for the - - acquisition of the extent, out of which a sum of Rs.1,380/- was made payable to the tenants Chinnasami Modaliar and Rajagopala Modaliar, and a sum of Rs.157-8-0 representing 30 times the so called assessment on the extent was made payable to the Inamdar. The whole award appears to have proceeded on certain wrong assumptions. The Amildar had no opportunity to put forward before the Acquisition Officer the claims of the temple for a larger share of the compensation amount as the only intimation he received of the proceedings was the notice of the Collector to appear before him to receive the compensation awarded. He entered a protest as regards the amount and has sought the instructions of the Government in the matter.

4. On the merits of the question, the Durbar are constrained to think that the interests of the temple have not been sufficiently safeguarded. The village is a Sarvamanya and has been settled as Devar-

Devadaya Inam, and the holders of land therein are only tenants under the Inamdar temple. It is no doubt true that these have been in more or less undisturbed possession for years past. But this would not in itself confer upon them any proprietary or occupancy rights, in the absence of any special enactment to this effect. On the contrary, under section 106 of the Transfer of Property Act which is in force in the C & M Station, it is surmised that a presumption arises in favor of the temple that being ~~only~~ agricultural leases the occupation of these lands is terminable at the end of the year of tenancy. If the tenants should claim greater rights under any local usage, or contract, the onus of proving the existence of such greater rights strictly falls upon them. In the enquiry instituted in the matter it has transpired that the tenants do not base their claims to the occupation upon any special contract or other favorable leases.

5. The Mysore Land Revenue Code not being applicable, the question of 'kadim' tenancy does not arise, and the payment of the rent in money equivalent cannot be said to convert the holding into the ryotwari class under which category these lands have been mistakenly shown in the statement prepared by the acting Amildar of the C & M Station. In this connection the Durbar ~~would~~ also ~~beg to~~ invite reference to the fact that when on previous occasions

73

occasions certain ~~extents~~ areas from the same village were acquired for the Cantonment purposes in the year 1811 and between the years 1862 and 1869, lands were given in exchange for the ~~benefit~~ of the temple in Dunkanahalli village of the C & M Station and Hongasandra village of the Bangalore Taluk, a circumstance from which it may be inferred that the temple had an occupancy right in the lands of Nilasandra.

6. In conclusion, ~~the Durbar beg~~ I am to point out that owing to an ~~individual~~ initial irregularity in the Proceedings which prevented timely representations being made on behalf of the temple and a mistake in the view of the tenancy rights in the village, the bulk of the compensation- nearly as much as 9/10 of the amount- has been given away to the tenants and the inamdar temple has been put to substantial loss and to urge that the mere lapse of time may not be allowed to stand in the way of granting ~~some~~ such remedy as may be possible in the circumstances of the case.

I have, &c.,

(Draft) 20.4.14
For Secretary to Government.

30/4/14

14.5.14.

18/5/14

14

Letter No. 3944, dated the 15th September 1914, from the Collector, Civil and Military Station, Bangalore, to the First Assistant to the Hon'ble the Resident in Mysore.

With reference to your endorsement No. 3545, dated the 2 th May 1914, and subsequent reminder on the subject of the grant of compensation in respect of certain lands acquired in the Sarwamanya village of Hilasanore in 1912 for erecting police lines to certain parties, I have the honour to state that the matter was caused to be enquired into by the Station Amildar with the result that the individuals possess no documentary evidence to prove their -- title to the lands and that they depend on their unassailed possession for over 1 0 years. I enclose copies of their statements made before the Amildar and forward the petitions presented by them to me in the matter from which it will be seen that they contend that lands for certain improvements referred to ~~in~~ were acquired some 20 years ago and compensation therefor was paid to them and not to the temple. The instances quoted were probably for municipal purposes but no papers were traced in the municipal office and the parties possess no papers with them testifying to the transactions. The parties further contend that if the Durbar has any claim, it may be enforced in a competent -- court of law and they, when presenting the petitions,-- verbally informed me that they would produce such evidence by producing witnesses. I have, however, warned them personally that if the Durbar's claim is finally proved, they will have to refund the amounts paid to them.

2. In paragraph 4 of the Durbar's letter, dated the 20th May 1914, reference is made about [illegible] of the [illegible] made at the end of the year of -- tenancy. There is nothing on record to show that any/

such agricultural leases were made at any time.

3. In paragraph 3 of the Durbar's letter above quoted it is said that there was no opportunity to claim a larger share of compensation amount on behalf of the temple but it does not say what portion of the compensation is claimed on behalf of the temple.

4. In these circumstances I request that the Hon'ble the Resident will be pleased to address the Mysore Durbar for favour of their further views in the matter.

Pol No 616 & 25-9-14

1914-15
Mur
605-11
12

No. 6907
2-3.

Mysore Resident's Office,
Bangalore, 25th September 1914.

A copy of the foregoing letter, with enclosures*, is forwarded herewith to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, for information and for favour of further expression of their views with reference to Mr. Narasinga Rao's letter No. 928 Pol., dated the 20th May 1914.

M B Watkins

First Assistant Resident.

GRS

76

Statement of Damodar Moodr. son of Rajagopal Moodr. made before the Amildar on 23-6-14.

---------:0:---------

I know my father was paid compensation 2 years ago by - Government for certain lands in his name which were acquired in - Nilsandra for extending Police lines. My father died last year. I hav 3 brothers of whom (1) A.R. Lakshmana Moodr. is a Sub-Overseer in - Hariyur Taluq, Chitaldrug District, (2) Govindaraj Moodr. is a pay cler in the Nizam's Guaranteed Railway at Hyderabad and (3) Venkatasubbu Moodr., is a Head Constable in the Civil and Military Station.

2. The lands in Nilsandra have been ~~enjoyed~~ enjoyed by us from a very - long time. I understand they passed to our ancestors more than 100 - years ago. I have no documents to prove title. I hold I have proprietory right in them .20 yrars ago same land was similarly taken from us - for widening the main sewer. Two years after that same more was - acquired for running a road. Again 7 years ago similar acquisition - was made for a Mohamadan burial ground. In all these cases compensation money was received by my father. I have no papers to show the - - transactions.

Sd/- A. R. Damodar Moodr.

---------:0:---------

Statement of Venkatasubbu Moodr. 4th son of Rajagopal Moodr made before the Amildar on 23-6-14.

I have heard the above statement and it is correct.

Sd/- A.Venkatasubbu

Statement of Chinnasawmy Moodr., son of Vijayaranga Moodr. made before the Amildar on 23-6-14.

I have heard the above statement and my story also is the same.

Sd/- A. V. Chinnaswamy Moodr

C O P Y .

16. 14

77

To 77 cz

The Collector 99

Civil & Military Station

Bangalore

Sir,

In obedience to your notice of 29th August 1914. calling on us to appear before you within 8 days from the receipt of this notice to show cause why the compensation amounts paid to our father, A. V. Rajagopal Mooppa in 1912 for the lands acquired in the Nilasandra Village for the extension of Police Lines should not be recovered from us in view of the Mysore Durbar's claims. —

We beg to submit that the lands which were acquired were in our Sole possession and enjoyment continuously for more than one hundred years and compensation was paid to our father on 4 or 5 previous occassions when different portions of this land were acquired. We do not admit that the Mysore Durbar is entitled to that amount of compensation which has been paid to our father and we submit that if the Mysore Durbar has any claim they may enforce it against us in a competent court of law.

We beg to remain

Sir

Your obedient Servants

P.T.O.

(1) A.R. Wanodaya for A.R.

(2) A.R. Wanodaya

(3) A.R. Wanòdaya for A.R. Goona

(4) Alwendalaya Ro

Bangalore
4-9-1944

To.

The Collector
Land & military Station
Bangalore

Sir,

In obedience to your notice of 19th august 1914. calling on me to appear before you within 8 days from the receipt of this notice to show cause why the compensation amount paid to me in 1912 for the land acquired in the Ulsoor Village for the extension of Police lines should not be recovered from me in view of the suppo Durbar claims.

I beg to submit - that the lands which were acquired from me were in my sole possession & enjoyment continuously for more than one hundred years and compensation was paid to my father on 4 or 5 previous occasions when different portions of this land were acquired. I do not admit that the suppo Durbar is entitled to that amount of compensation which has been paid to me and I submit that if the suppo Durbar has any claim thereto, they may enforce it against me in a competent court of law.

I beg to remain
Sir
Your obedient Servant

A. V. Chinnaswamy.

Bangalore
4-9-1914

No Pol 1122 of 13-5-15
Mun 7 605-11-13

From

The Secretary to
the Government of Mysore.

To

The First Assistant
to the Hon'ble the Resident
in Mysore, BANGALORE.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge receipt of your endorsement No.6907 dated 25th September 1914 forwarding for information and a further expression of views, copy of letter No.3944 dated 16th September 1914 from the Collector, Civil and Military Stations, Bangalore, in the matter of a claim to compensation advanced on behalf of Sri Dharmarayaswami for certain lands acquired in the Sarvamanya village of Hilsandra.

(Sd.) Lewis Mbr P.G. D'Souza.

2. In paragraphs 4 and 5 of my previous letter No.928 Pol. dated 20th May 1914, the views of the Durbar o[illegible]

legal right of the temple ~~has~~ already been ~~&~~ indicated. As ~~was~~ is noted in paragraph 2 of the Collector's letter under reference, it ~~was~~ is no doubt true that there ~~was~~ is nothing on record to show that any agricultural leases were made at any time. The existence of such a relation between the parties is only a matter of legal presumption explaining their admitted position as landlord and tenants.

3. I am to state that in the circumstances of this case, where the tenants have no right of permanent occupancy and are liable to be evicted at any time at the landlord's will, the Durbar consider that three-fourths of the compensation amount should rightly be made payable to the Inamdar temple and that the tenants would be amply compensated by getting the remaining fourth for themselves. I am to add however that the Durbar would accept

any decision which may commend itself as equitable to Hon'ble the Resident.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

for Offg.Secretary to Govt.

2/6
Copy to Dewan

83

No. 4526.

Pol 2581 D 29.6.15

From

P. B. WARBURTON, Esq., I. C. S.,
FIRST ASSISTANT TO THE RESIDENT IN MYSORE.

DIARY No. 2129

19/4/19 15
SECTION Misc.
FILE No. 1.05-11
SERIAL No. 14

To

The Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 29 June 1915.

Sir,

I am directed to refer to Mr. D'Souza's letter No. 1122 dated the 13th May 1915, regarding the claim to compensation -- advanced on behalf of Sri Dharmarayaswami for certain lands acquired by the Collector of the Civil and Military Station in the Sarvamanya village of Nilsandra.

2. The Collector reports that at the time of the enquiry in the acquisition case the occupants were considered to be the legal and rightful owners of the land in question and for this reason it appears that no notice was served upon the Amildar of Bangalore on behalf of the Inamdar. While there was admittedly an omission on the part of the Collector to comply fully with the provisions of section 9 (3) of the Land Acquisition Act, the Resident doubts whether such an omission is sufficient to render the proceedings inoperative. Moreover provision is made in section 18 of the Act whereby any person interested, who does not accept the award, may require that the matter be referred to a Court. The Inamdar in lodging his protest does not appear to have made any such application.

Apart, however, from the legal considerations discussed in the preceding paragraph it is clear that the tenants of the land base their claim to it on their undisputed possession for 100 years

874

years and the question as to the conflicting rights of the parties is one which can only be satisfactorily decided in a Civil Court. In these circumstances, the Resident regrets that he is unable to give a decision in the matter, and would suggest that, if it is desired to press the claim on behalf of the Inamdar, the best course would be to file a suit for the adjudication of the rights of the parties 'inter se'. I am to add that in the event of similar cases arising in future the Durbar will be consulted before disposing of any claims to compensation.

4. The sum of Rs 157-8-0, being the compensation awarded to the Inamdar, will be sent to the Amildar of the Bangalore Taluk if that officer is directed by the Durbar to receive the amount on behalf of the temple.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

First Assistant Resident.

G.R.S. 26]

SUBJECT L Re the acceptance of the Compensation awarded on behalf of Sri Dharmaraya Swamie Temple at Bangalore &c.

Diary No. of letter replied to ____

Section

File No. 55-11

Serial No. 15

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* *191* .

No Rev. L 250

Date of issue 28-8-18

To

The First Assistant to

Signed by Mr V R Thyagaraja

The Hon'ble The Resident in Mysore

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge receipt of your letter no. 4526 dated 29th June 1915 regarding the claim to Compensation advanced on behalf of Sri Dharmaraya Swamie Temple for certain lands required by the Collector of the Civil

(1 P)

Military Station in the
Sarvamanya Village of
Nilasandra, and to state
that as ~~obtained~~ indicated in para
4 ~~of~~ of the ~~letter~~ same, the ~~Amildar~~ Dy Comr Bangalore Dt
has been asked to direct the Amildar
of the Bangalore Taluk
~~has been directed~~ to
make payment with despatch
receive the sum of ~~Rs~~ 154-8-0
~~being the Compensation and~~
as compensation
awarded ~~to the Jadamalar Temple~~

I have etc.

for Secretary to Govt
Rev Dept.

Dft)
SLG
25.8.15

Ag
27/8.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20,000—11 2 14

G.R.S. 26]

87

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Section VI

File No. 605 11

Serial No. 16

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* *191*

No. 629.

Date of issue 30 8 915

Signed by Mr Y Narayanadevaru

To

The Dy Comr

Bangalore Dt

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter No. 557 dated the 17th Jan: 15 regarding the tenancy of land in the Sarvamanya Nilasandra village within the limits of the Civil & Military Station Bangalore, & endowed to the Dharmaraya Swami temple

at Bangalore, I am
decided to state that the
Hon'ble the Resident while
admitting that there was
an omission on the part of the
Collector, Commissioner, to comply
fully with the provisions
of Sec 9(3) of the Land
Acquisition Act, doubts whether
such an omission is fatal &
under the Proofs in question
in negative; ~~that no application~~
was made on behalf of the
Viramdar Temple referring the
matter to a Court as required
by ~~Sec~~ 18 of the Act. When the
award was not ~~accepted~~
the ~~Court~~ considers
~~that~~ that as the tenants have
their claim to the land in question
as their undisputed possession
for 100 years and the question
as to the conflicting ~~interests~~
rights of the parties is

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20,000—11 2 11

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

decided

Which can only be ~~adjudged~~ satisfactorily in a civil court the best course would have been to file a suit for the adjudication of the rights of the parties interse. In these circumstances I am ~~[illegible] that no further action is necessary~~ called for

~~I am to add that a sum of Rs 17-8-0 has been awarded by the Inamdar as compensation and to request you will be so good as to direct the Muildar, Bagi, Taluk to receive from the Collector of Kaira and credit the same to the funds of the temple.~~

~~[illegible] that no further action is necessary~~

I am to add that a sum of Rs 17-8-0 has been awarded as compensation

V. P. sent to Dy Commr

Shown to Dy
27/11/11

GPB -12 000—15-2-1

JA
25/11/11